

Improving patient decision aid manuscripts using the new SUNDAE Checklist from IPDAS

Karen Sepucha^{1,2}, Richard Thomson³, Hilary Bekker⁴, Annie LeBlanc⁵, Stacey Sheridan⁶,
Purva Abhyankar⁷, Aubri Hoffman⁸, Carrie Levin⁹, Mary Ropka¹⁰, Victoria Shaffer¹¹, Dawn
Stacey¹², Peep Stalmeier¹³, Ha Vo², Celia Wills¹⁴

¹ *Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts, USA*

² *Health Decision Sciences Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Massachusetts, USA*

³ *Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, England*

⁴ *Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, England*

⁵ *Department of Family Medicine and Emergency Medicine, Laval University, Quebec, Canada*

⁶ *International Patient Decision Aid Standards (IPDAS) Collaboration Reporting Guidelines Workgroup, North Carolina, USA*

⁷ *Faculty of Health Sciences & Sport, University of Stirling, UK*

⁸ *Department of Health Services Research, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Texas, USA*

⁹ *Healthwise, Incorporated, Massachusetts, USA (April 2014-November 2016)*

¹⁰ *Public Health Sciences, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Virginia, USA*

¹¹ *Health Sciences and Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri, Missouri, USA*

¹² *Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada*

¹³ *Health Evidence, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Center, Nijmegen, the Netherlands*

¹⁴ *College of Nursing, Ohio State University, Ohio, USA*

Overall aims: The two goals of the workshop are (1) to introduce the recently completed reporting guidelines, Standards for Universal reporting of patient Decision Aid Evaluations (SUNDAE), designed to improve the quality and transparency of reporting of studies evaluating patient decision aids and (2) to engage the shared decision making community in designing strategies for implementation and dissemination of the guideline.

Description: An international group of experts from the International Patient Decision Aid Standards (IPDAS) collaboration, with input from the international shared decision making community, developed the SUNDAE guidelines. The guidelines are an evidence- and consensus-based set of recommendations that are summarized in a 26-item checklist. In addition, the developers created an Explanation and Elaboration (E&E) document that provides detailed examples of how to address each of the checklist items within a manuscript.

During this workshop, the faculty will briefly present the results of the development process and will discuss the relationship between SUNDAE and other reporting guidelines (e.g. CONSORT). The development process followed well-established reporting guidelines development methodology. Feedback from more than 100 international stakeholders indicated a high level of consensus on the importance of the items, as well as a strong willingness to use the items when preparing manuscripts.

The workshop participants will break into small groups and have the opportunity to examine the extent to which selected published papers incorporate SUNDAE checklist items. The groups will critically examine the quality of reporting of the items, and the role of the Checklist and E&E to support better reporting.

Finally, the small groups will make recommendations for strategies to promote implementation and dissemination of the guidelines. The SUNDAE guidelines have been developed for use by a wide variety of patient decision aid researchers, and may also be useful for informing study design and supporting peer-review of manuscripts.

Learning objectives:

After this interactive workshop, attendees will be able to:

- Describe the development and appropriate use of the SUNDAE guidelines, checklist and E&E document when designing and reporting studies
- Critically review published studies to identify reporting of checklist items
- Discuss the feasibility of using the checklist and E&E
- Identify strategies for implementing and disseminating the SUNDAE guideline

Pre-requisites: None, but familiarity with decision aid evaluation studies will be helpful.

Size: 30-50

Duration: 60-90 minutes

NOTE: In prior discussions with Nora, we prefer this workshop to occur during the conference (possibly during a lunch period or parallel session) and NOT on Sunday.